Case 1:05-cv-00877-JJF Document 2-2 Filed 12/19/2005 Page 1 of 11

more Internation

Raynd C. Andorsone US. General motors Enecoxp. 11

I Filo A motion to 5000 on Doc. 12-05

because of there Latter Date Sout 19.05

of A Right Latter to Sue and A Letter

of Dissmuel of my charge. EDB

There Leoner - Based upon its Invostigation

Atfer Reviewing there Investigation
The information Receive From G. M. - Responses
was Palso and futher Retalation. Those (5000)
Investigation was imporposely pome, for these
Reason I Requested, Reconceration of my
CHARGE. I'MM waiting for there Anxwers.
Copy & C., Motion for Reconceration.
Also Copy of my Filo- 170-2005-01768.
(hotter)-8xD.

On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity

is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR § 1601.7(a))



EEOC Form 161 (10/96)

1

## U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

## DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To:Roland Anderson 113 Lloyd Street Wilmington, DE 19804 From: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Philadelphia District Office The Bourse 21 S. Fifth Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2515

	-				
Charge No. 170-2005-01768			EEOC Representative	Telephone No.	
			Legal Unit	215-440-2828	
THE	EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FILE	ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING	REASON:	
[	]	The facts alleged in	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.		
[	]	Your allegations did	Your allegations did not involve a disability that is covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act.		
[	]	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statues.			
[	]	We cannot investiga	We cannot investigate your charge because it was not filed within the time limit required by law.		
[	]	Having been given 30 days in which to respond, you failed to provide information, failed to appear or be available for interviews/conferences, or otherwise failed to cooperate to the extent that it was not possible to resolve your charge.			
[	]	While reasonable ef	While reasonable efforts were made to locate you, we were not able to do so.		
[	]	You had 30 days to	You had 30 days to accept a reasonable settlement offer that afford full relief for the harm you alleged.		
[	x ]	the information obta	statutes. No finding is made as to any other	vestigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that This does not certify that the respondent is in issues that might be construed as having been	
[	]	The EEOC has ado	ted the findings of the state or local fair empl	oyment practices agency that investigated this	

## - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS from your receipt of this Notice; otherwise, your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

> On behalf of the Commission

Marie M. Tomasso, District Director

Enclosure(s)

CC:

Information Sheet

Willie Demouchette, Respondent's representative

Other (briefly state)

Bre.

U.S. Equal Employment

DIS missod and Woles of Right

(ROM)ROCAND C. Andonson 1134040 8TK, W.2. DOL-19804 To Equal opportant. Commission pluse P.A

113 Lloyp STR,

wd. Dol. 19804

To marie Mitomosso Distreat Director

MOTION to Reconceration

CASS, # 170-7-005-01768

Goerals. MRS. 527 Nove proporty

In vostacel This Clarge, The only Intak

mateon Reside from The Reported to whother

Prom General motors. About being A Tompore,

Employee. But mention of my charge al

Discrimenta of Hering and no proof. from bein

who they there During my Request During march of 08.

Alter from 8000/ and Kasponsel.

Rolid & Adorson

Address
Roland & Andorsons
113220yp STR.

(302) 994-0914

puto voc. 14,000

DIE missal and Motion of Right

Toi Equal Employment opportunité Commission PHilo, Distreit affice The Bourse 218, FITH STREET, Buil 400 PHila. PA. 19106-2515

To Regional Att. 5Acqueline manain

I would Li)ce A copy of my FRE - CASONOH

170-2005-01768

AS Soon As possible,

ce: Aclu Roland e. Andorses 113 May D STR. W. Wol. 12804 302. 984-0919 EEOC Form 5 (5/01) CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION Charge Presented To: Agency(ies) Charge No(s): This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act **FEPA** Statement and other information before completing this form. **EEOC** 170-2005-01768 Delaware Depart of Labor/Equal Employment Opportunity Office and EEOC State or local Agency, if any Home Phone No. (Incl Area Code) Date of Birth Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) (302) 994-0914 06-12-1952 Mr. Roland Anderson Street Address City, State and ZIP Code 113 Lloyd Street Wilmington, DE 19804 Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Committee, or State or Local Government Agency That I Believe Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS below.) No. Employees, Members Phone No. (Include Area Code) **GENERAL MOTORS** (302) 428-7907 500 or More Street Address City. State and ZIP Code 901 Boxwood Road, Wilmington, DE 19804 Name No. Employees, Members Phone No. (Include Area Code) Street Address City, State and ZIP Code DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).) DATE(S) DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE RELIGION NATIONAL ORIGIN 04-15-2005 04-15-2005 DISABILITY OTHER (Specify below.) CONTINUING ACTION THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)): I have filed the previous charges against Respondent: 170-2003-00027, 170-1991-01375, 170-2000-01320 and 17C-2004-00615, alleging discrimination due to Respondent's refusal to hire me. All these cases were closed for either lack of jurisdiction or issued No Cause determinations. I tried to apply for a job in March 2005. I was not given an application and told that the plant was not hiring. On or about April 15, 2005, I learned, from some individuals, that Respondent was hiring. I believe I was not given an application because of my race, black, and in retaliation for previous charge filing, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended and because of my age, 52, in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. The Respondent's listing me as "terminated" has also had the retaliatory effect of my being denied benefits to which I am entitled because I was actually laid off. The Respondent should properly list me as a laid off employee, not a terminated employee. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures. I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT April 10.05 Polante. Anderson SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)



## U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Philadelphia District Office 21

21 South 5th Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2515 (215) 440-2600 TTY (215) 440-2610 FAX (215) 440-2632, 2848 & 2604

September 8, 2005

`Roland Anderson 113 Lloyd Street Wilmington, DE 19804

Re: Anderson v. General Motors

EEOC Charge Number 170-2005-01768

Dear Mr. Anderson:

The information and evidence submitted by all parties regarding the above referenced charge has been reviewed. In order for the Commission to issue a determination that there is reasonable cause to believe that you were discriminated against, the record of evidence would have to support a conclusion that the Respondent took this into account in its actions. The information submitted by all parties does not indicate that there is a likelihood that continued investigation would result in obtaining evidence which would lead to a finding of a violation.

You allege that you were denied an application in March 2005, but learned approximately one month later, through various individuals, that Respondent filled some vacancies. You believe you were not given an application in retaliation for previous charge filing (170-1991-01375, 170-2000-01320, 17C-2004-00615 and 170-2003-00027), and because of your race, black and age, 52. In addition to these allegations, you believe you were denied benefits that you were entitled to because Respondent listed you as "terminated" and not "laid off" after working for Respondent previously.

Respondent agrees that you were an employee during the following periods: August 31, 1981, to September 21, 1981, and again from June 25, 1982 to October 1, 1982. According to the Collective Bargaining Agreement you did not reach a status of an employee, but remained listed as a temporary employee because:

"...employees shall be regarded as temporary employees until their names have been placed on the seniority list." Further, "Employees may acquire seniority by working 90 days during a period of six continuous months in which event the employee's seniority will date back 90 days from the date seniority is acquired."

Since you did not work within a period of six continuous months, you did not qualify as an employee with seniority but listed as a temporary employee. Therefore you do not have access to any accumulated benefits.

Regarding your hiring issue, Respondent states that no workers have been hired at that site since October 1999, indicating that there were no job opportunities at the time of your inquiry in March 2005.

This is to inform you that it will be recommended that the EEOC dismiss the charge. If the charge is dismissed, the Commission will issue a decision stating that it is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes a violation of the statute. The decision would not certify that the Respondent is in compliance with the statute. The Dismissal and Notice of Rights which will be sent to you will allow you to file a private suit, if you want to pursue this matter further.

Sincerely,

Dianna I. Schley

Federal Investigator

M, Att. Rad

Soutony complain )
CT COURT (when complain)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Poland C. Anderson,

Plaintiff,

v.

C.A. No. 92-335-SLR

GENERAL MOTORS, BOXWOOD

ROAD, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE

19804,

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID I. BULL

STATE OF DELAWARE )
) SS:
COUNTY OF NEW CASTLE )

On this day of September 1992 personally appeared before me the undersigned Notary Public, David I. Bull, who did depose and say:

- 1. I am an employee of General Motors Corporation at its Boxwood Road plant, Wilmington, Delaware. I hold the position of supervisor, Equal Employment Opportunity and, as such, I have investigated the subject matter of the Complaint filed by Roland C. Anderson in the above-captioned civil action and the same matter when it was before the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. I am authorized to make this Affidavit on behalf of Defendant, General Motors Corporation.
- 2. The records of General Motors show that Plaintiff was employed as an hourly worker from August 31 to September 21, 1981, when he was laid off.

  During this period of time, he acquired no seniority rights, because he was not

Pat

व्याच्या व्य

PZ

Bar; siming Agreement. Plaintiff was rehired on June 25, 1982 and was again laid off in Cotober 1982. Under the Agreement he acquired certain seniority rights, including a right to be recalled to employment) but these rights expired on a "time for time" basis. Having been employed for only four months, Plaintiff's right to be recalled, as well as any other seniority rights, expired four months after he was laid off, that is, by February 1983.

- 3. G.M. has not hired any permanent employees for manufacturing assembly work since 1987. During this period of time, all persons recalled to work we claid off employees who had seniority rights and a right to be recalled before persons without such rights were considered for employment. Telephone inquiries concerning employment opportunities have received the response, "We are not issuing applications nor do we expect any opportunities in the near future."
- with seniority rights, there was a brief period when applications for temporary summer employment were processed. On May 13, 1992, 31 temporary employees were hired, but, as it turned out, they only worked for two weeks before being laid off. This took place long after Plaintiff had filed his complaint with the E.E.O.C. on or about December 27, 1991. Former employees who still have seniority rights do not have a right to recall to temporary summer employment.
- 5. G.M. has no record of receipt of a job application by Plaintiff during 1991, or at any time after his seniority rights expired in 1983. Plaintiff alleged.

Filed 12/19/2005

before the E.E.O.C., that he sought employment from G.M. on June 5 and November 4, 1991 and was told that G.M. "was not hiring". If Plaintiff made these contacts on the dates indicated, he is correct in stating the response he would have received; as stated above, G.M. was not considering or accepting applications for new employment at that time. The list of former employees with seniority rights had not been exhausted and the Collective Bargaining Agreement barred consideration of any person, such as Plaintiff, who had no seniority rights.

- I was responsible for preparation and submission of G.M.'s response to Plaintiff's complaint as filed with the E.E.O.C. Attached is a copy of that response.
- G.M.'s Wilmington plant was closed from Saturday, July 18 through Sunday, August 2, 1992. Plaintiff's complaint in this case was served on Defendant by ordinary mail. It appears to have been received during the time the plant was closed and there was no one on duty to give any attention to such mail. All of the mail received during the close down was processed following the reopening of the plant on Monday, August 3, 1992.

Daniel & Bull

Sworn to and subscribed before me the day and year first above written.

My Commission Expires: New 1993